

ESPO

Leicestershire County Council Internal Audit Service Annual Audit Report 2024-25

**Neil Jones CPFA, Head of Internal Audit Service,
Leicestershire County Council**

22 September 2025

ESPO
LEICESTERSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL INTERNAL AUDIT SERVICE
ANNUAL REPORT 2024-25

Background

1. A common set of Public Sector Internal Audit Standards (PSIAS) was adopted in April 2013 and revised in April 2017. The PSIAS encompass the mandatory elements of the Global Institute of Internal Auditors (IIA Global) International Professional Practices Framework (IPPF) as follows: -
 - i. The Mission of Internal Audit
 - ii. Definition of Internal Auditing
 - iii. Core Principles for the Professional Practice of Internal Auditing
 - iv. Code of Ethics
 - v. International Standards for the Professional Practice of Internal Auditing
2. Additional requirements and interpretations for the local government sector have been inserted into the PSIAS and all principal local authorities (Joint Committees included) must make provision for internal audit in accordance with the PSIAS.
3. The objectives of the PSIAS are to: -
 - a. define the nature of internal auditing within the UK public sector
 - b. set principles for carrying out internal audit in the UK public sector
 - c. establish a framework for providing internal audit services, which add value to the organisation, leading to improved organisational processes and operations
 - d. establish the basis for the evaluation of internal audit performance and to drive improvement planning
4. The PSIAS require the Head of Internal Audit Service (HoIAS) to provide an annual report to 'the Board' (the Finance & Audit Subcommittee) timed to support the Annual Governance Statement (AGS).
5. The PSIAS state that the annual report must include:
 - a. an annual internal audit opinion on the overall adequacy and effectiveness of ESPO's governance, risk and control framework (i.e. the control environment) and disclosure of any qualifications to the opinion, together with the reasons for the qualification
 - b. a summary of the audit work from which the opinion is derived (including reliance placed on work by other assurance bodies) and disclosure of any impairments or restriction in scope
 - c. a comparison of the work actually undertaken with the work that was planned, including a summary of the performance of the internal audit function

- d. a statement on conformance with the PSIAS and the results of the internal audit Quality Assurance and Improvement Programme (QAIP) and progress against any improvement plans resulting from a QAIP external assessment
 - e. any issues the HoIAS judges particularly relevant to the preparation of the Annual Governance Statement.
6. From 1 April 2025, the PSIAS are replaced by the Global Internal Audit Standards in the UK Public Sector

The Annual Internal Audit Opinion on the Adequacy and Effectiveness of ESPO's Control Environment

7. Annex 1 provides detail on how the annual internal audit opinion was formed, defines the types of audits undertaken, assurance ratings, the components of the control environment and what it is designed to achieve and provides a caveat on any opinion reached.
8. Based on an objective assessment of the results of individual audits undertaken, actions by management thereafter, and the professional judgement of the HoIAS in evaluating other related activities, the following overall opinion has been reached: -

No significant governance, risk management or internal control failings have come to the HoIAS' attention therefore substantial assurance is given that ESPO's control environment overall has remained adequate and effective

A summary of the audit work from which the opinion is derived

9. Annex 2 lists the audits undertaken during the year in the respective control environment components (governance, risk management and internal control). The list also contains the individual audit opinion or outcome. Summary outcomes and recommendations are reported to the Subcommittee at its meetings in February and October in the HoIAS' reports on progress against the annual internal audit plan.
10. Those 2023-24 audits that were at draft report stage were closed with no changes to opinions
11. There were no new high importance (HI) recommendations.
12. Ten 'assurance' audits that were undertaken, and they each returned a 'substantial assurance' rating, meaning the internal controls in place to reduce exposure to risks currently material to the system's objectives were adequate and were being managed effectively. Although recommendation(s) to bring about improvements were made, they were not significant.
13. Six audits returning 'no opinion' were 'consulting/advisory' type audits.
14. The rebates income, procurement and product benchmarking audits spanned two years and will be completed in 2025-26.

15. Other than the External Auditor, there was no reliance placed on other assurance providers during the year.

A comparison of work undertaken with work planned including a summary of the performance of the internal audit function

16. The table below shows planned against actual performance in terms of number of audits (completed to draft issued stage)

Table 1: Overall performance against 2024-25 internal audit plan

	<u>Audits</u>	<u>Complete</u>	<u>Incomplete</u>	<u>Deferred/ Other</u>
Conclude 23-24	8	8	-	-
Planned	19	16	3	-
Unplanned	-	-	-	-
Client management	1	1	-	-
Total	28	25	3	-

17. Just under 155 days were provided, slightly over the 150 planned.

A statement on conformance with the PSIAS and the results of the internal audit Quality Assurance and Improvement Programme (QAIP)

18. Leicestershire County Council Internal Audit Service received its 5 yearly independent external quality assessment final report in May 2024. It stated: -

The Leicestershire County Council internal audit service is delivering to a standard that generally conforms (*) with the Public Sector Internal Audit Standards

19. (*) 'Generally Conforms' is the top rating and means that the internal audit service has a charter, policies and processes that are judged to be in conformance to the Standards. The assessor considered LCCIAS compared very favourably in benchmarking against other Local Authorities. Six areas of good practice were reported and (as was expected) four areas for further improvement. There were no significant deviations from the PSIAS. An action plan continues to be implemented.

Any issues the HoIAS judges particularly relevant to the preparation of the Annual Governance Statement (AGS)

20. As ESPO is not a Local Authority it has no requirement to prepare an Annual Governance Statement (AGS) following the CIPFA/LASAAC Code of Practice in Local Authority Accounting. However, recognising that such a document is a useful tool in demonstrating good corporate governance to its stakeholders, and the Management Committee, an AGS is prepared and presented with the financial statements.
21. A 'governance group' comprising the Director of ESPO, Commercial Financial Controller (ESPO); the Consortium Treasurer, the Consortium Secretary and the HoIAS review the draft AGS (or any alternative

governance report) before it is presented to Management Committee. The HoIAS was not aware of any significant governance issues that should have been reported in the AGS.

Neil Jones CPFA
Head of Internal Audit Service, LCCIAS
22 September 2025

This page is intentionally left blank